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Abstract Title: Reviewing and Evaluation of Biomedical Articles

Abstract: Reviewing and Evaluation of Biomedical Articles Prof. Ahmed Said El MorsyChief Editor of the Egyptian Journal of HistologyFaculty of Medicine Ain Shams University President Elect EMAME Introduction: Reviewing and Evaluation share many aspects. However, the impact of both of them vary. This will be clarified in the following presentation. Reviewing: It is the process which governs the acceptance of research articles to be published in journals. Whatever system selected (Double blind – Single blind – open) the basic steps in the peer review process are almost the same. The value of Peer reviews is based on the assumption that it provides a valid measure of the quality of a manuscript. These assumptions are largely taken for granted and rarely challenged yet their validity is open to question. Reviewers usually recommend that the paper can be published.
(with or without revision) or rejected. Editors don’t have to heed this recommendation but most do. A checklist may or may not be used in the Reviewing Process. Evaluation: It is the process adopted by the promotion committees. In my experience (for more than 25 years) the applicant submits a number of papers (articles) according to the rules governing this process. These are sent to three referees for evaluation. The evaluation is carried out according to a checklist stating the items to be evaluated together with the marks allocated for each item. These items include basically originality, material and methods, results (figures, tables, statistics..), discussion and conclusion. Besides there are marks for other items. The marks obtained correspond to grades. Finally the decision is taken according to the rules governing this process. Observations and conclusion Comparing the outcomes of reviewing with those of evaluation deserve discussion. This will be presented in the final presentation and will be open for discussion.